





# Training of Trainers - an Advanced Course on

# Gender Responsive Governance 13 -17 November, 2017

Venue: SR- 1, Gyanshila, Main Campus,

# Lal Bahadur Shastri National Academy of Administration (LBSNAA), Mussoorie

eam				
eam				
athy S.				
Ahuja,				
rector, LBSNAA				
anita Sinha				
ojini Ganju Thakur				
Group Photograph & Tea Break				
rojini G. Thakur &				
jali Chauhan				
rator: Ms. Aswathy S.				
ers: Presentations by				

	Contd	SIRD & NIRD
01.20 02.20 pm		
01:30 - 02:30 pm	Lunch	
	Session - 3	
02:30 - 03:00 pm	Gender Mainstreaming in PRI Trainings	
	(SIRDs from MP and Rajasthan)	
	Session – 4	
	Gender Analysis 1- Policies	
03:00 - 04:00 pm	Gender Analysis of:	
	<ol> <li>State Policies for Women</li> <li>State Panchayati Acts/ Rules</li> </ol>	
	2) State Palicilayati Acts/ Rules	Group work
04:00 - 04:15 pm	Tea Break	
1		
	Session – 5	
	Gender Analysis 1- Policies (Contd.)	
04.45 05.20	Group Presentations	
04:15 - 05:30 pm		Moderators: Ms. Suneeta Dhar
		Ms. Sarojini G. Thakur &
		Ms. Navanita Sinha
Day 2	14 November, 2017	
09:00 - 9:30 am	Reflections from Day One	
	Session - 6	
	Catalyzing Women's Transformative Leadershi	p:
09:30 - 10:30 am	Grassroots Women's Perspective	Moderator: Ms. Suneeta Dhar
		Mr. Sibin Varghese
		(PRADAN) &
		Ms. Mamta, CRP, M.P.

	Session - 7	
10:30 - 11:00 am	Recap on Gender Analysis	Ms. Sarojini G. Thakur
11:00 -11:30 am	Tea Break	
	Session - 8	
	Gender Analysis 2	
	a) Government Schemes	
11:30 -1:00 pm	b) State Perspectives as Envisioned in Gend	der and Governance Modules (6 states)
	Group work	Ms. Sarojini G. Thakur &
		Ms. Suneeta Dhar
01:00 - 02:00 pm	Lunch	
	Session - 9	
02:00 - 03:30 pm	Group Presentations	Ms. Sarojini G. Thakur &
		Ms. Suneeta Dhar
03:30 - 04:00 pm	Tea Br	reak
	Session - 10	
04:00 - 05:00 pm	Overview on Facilitating Women's Empow	erment and Leadership
04:00 - 03:00 pm		Ms. Sarojini G. Thakur &
		Ms. Suneeta Dhar
Day 3	15 November, 2017	
09:00 - 09:30 am	Reflections from Day Two	
	Session - 11	
00.00.11.00	Sharing Best Practices on GPDPs: Using a G	ender Lens for Planning at Local Levels
09:30 - 11:00 am		Moderator: Ms. Navanita Sinha Speakers: Mr. Ajit K Singh,
		CTI, Jharkhand &
11:00 -11:30 am	Tea Brea	Ms. Dharmista, M.P.

	Session – 12				
11.20 1.00	Tools for Participatory Planning at Local Levels				
11:30 - 1:00 pm	Speaker: Ms. Sejal Dand				
	(ANANDI) Gujarat				
01:00 - 02:00 pm	Lunch				
	Session – 13				
02:00 - 03:30 pm	Using ICT for Rural Women				
02.00 - 03.30 pm	Speaker: Ms. Anita Gurumurthy,				
	(IT for Change), Karnataka				
03:30 - 04:00 pm	Tea Break				
	Session - 14				
	Communications and Training Tools for				
04:00 - 05:30 pm	Training at Community Level				
	Speaker: Ms. Sejal Dand				
Day 4	16 November, 2017				
Day 4					
Day 4	16 November, 2017				
	16 November, 2017  Session - 15				
<b>Day 4</b> 09:30 - 11:00 am	16 November, 2017  Session – 15  Role of Apex Institutions for Knowledge Building - Panel Discussion  Moderator: Ms. Sarojini G Thakur Speakers:				
	16 November, 2017  Session - 15  Role of Apex Institutions for Knowledge Building - Panel Discussion  Moderator: Ms. Sarojini G Thakur Speakers:  Ms. Aswathy S., LBSNAA				
	16 November, 2017  Session – 15  Role of Apex Institutions for Knowledge Building - Panel Discussion  Moderator: Ms. Sarojini G Thakur Speakers:  Ms. Aswathy S., LBSNAA Ms. N. V. Madhuri, NIRD				
	16 November, 2017  Session – 15  Role of Apex Institutions for Knowledge Building - Panel Discussion  Moderator: Ms. Sarojini G Thakur Speakers:  Ms. Aswathy S., LBSNAA Ms. N. V. Madhuri, NIRD Representatives, SIRD				
09:30 - 11:00 am	16 November, 2017  Session – 15  Role of Apex Institutions for Knowledge Building - Panel Discussion  Moderator: Ms. Sarojini G Thakur Speakers:  Ms. Aswathy S., LBSNAA  Ms. N. V. Madhuri, NIRD  Representatives, SIRD  Representatives, SIRD				
	16 November, 2017  Session – 15  Role of Apex Institutions for Knowledge Building - Panel Discussion  Moderator: Ms. Sarojini G Thakur Speakers:  Ms. Aswathy S., LBSNAA Ms. N. V. Madhuri, NIRD Representatives, SIRD				
09:30 - 11:00 am	16 November, 2017  Session – 15  Role of Apex Institutions for Knowledge Building - Panel Discussion  Moderator: Ms. Sarojini G Thakur Speakers:  Ms. Aswathy S., LBSNAA  Ms. N. V. Madhuri, NIRD  Representatives, SIRD  Representatives, SIRD				
09:30 - 11:00 am  11:00 -11:30 am	16 November, 2017  Session – 15  Role of Apex Institutions for Knowledge Building - Panel Discussion  Moderator: Ms. Sarojini G Thakur Speakers: Ms. Aswathy S., LBSNAA Ms. N. V. Madhuri, NIRD Representatives, SIRD Representatives, SIRD Tea Break				
09:30 - 11:00 am	16 November, 2017  Session - 15  Role of Apex Institutions for Knowledge Building - Panel Discussion  Moderator: Ms. Sarojini G Thakur Speakers:				
09:30 - 11:00 am  11:00 -11:30 am	16 November, 2017  Session - 15  Role of Apex Institutions for Knowledge Building - Panel Discussion  Moderator: Ms. Sarojini G Thakur Speakers:				

	Preparatory Time for Group work on Design and Practice Sessions – 6 groups
	Contd
01:00 - 02:00 pm	Lunch
	Session – 17
02:00 - 03:00 pm	Group Work on Design and Practice Sessions continue
	Group Presentations
03.00 – 03.30 pm	Group Tresentations
	The a December
03:30 - 04:00 pm	Tea Break
	Session – 18
04:00 - 05:30 pm	Group presentations continue
	Summarization of the key principles of design
Day 5	17 November, 2017
	Session – 19
00 00 44 00	
09:30 -11:00 am	Group Practice Sessions II
	Group Practice Sessions II
09:30 -11:00 am 11:00 -11:30 am	Tea Break
	Tea Break
11:00 -11:30 am	Tea Break  Session – 20
11:00 -11:30 am	Tea Break  Session – 20  Gender Sensitive Monitoring and Evaluation at Local Level
11:00 -11:30 am 11:30 - 01:00 pm	Tea Break  Session - 20  Gender Sensitive Monitoring and Evaluation at Local Level  Ms. Aswathy S.  Lunch
11:00 -11:30 am 11:30 - 01:00 pm	Tea Break  Session – 20  Gender Sensitive Monitoring and Evaluation at Local Level  Ms. Aswathy S.  Lunch
11:00 -11:30 am  11:30 - 01:00 pm  01:00 - 02:00 pm	Tea Break  Session – 20  Gender Sensitive Monitoring and Evaluation at Local Level  Ms. Aswathy S.  Lunch  Feedback and Evaluation  LBSNAA & Course Team
11:00 -11:30 am  11:30 - 01:00 pm  01:00 - 02:00 pm  02:00 - 02:30 pm	Tea Break  Session - 20 Gender Sensitive Monitoring and Evaluation at Local Level  Ms. Aswathy S.  Lunch  Feedback and Evaluation  LBSNAA & Course Team  Valedictory Session:
11:00 -11:30 am  11:30 - 01:00 pm  01:00 - 02:00 pm	Tea Break  Session – 20  Gender Sensitive Monitoring and Evaluation at Local Level  Ms. Aswathy S.  Lunch  Feedback and Evaluation  LBSNAA & Course Team
11:00 -11:30 am  11:30 - 01:00 pm  01:00 - 02:00 pm  02:00 - 02:30 pm	Tea Break  Session - 20 Gender Sensitive Monitoring and Evaluation at Local Level  Ms. Aswathy S.  Lunch  Feedback and Evaluation  LBSNAA & Course Team  Valedictory Session:

Valediction Message	Mr. Manoj Ahuja
	Joint Director, LBSNAA
Special Remarks	Mr. Nils Ragnar Kamsvag,
	Ambassador of Norway to India
Distribution of Certificates	
Vote of Thanks	Ms. Anjali Chauhan

### **KEY GROUND RULES**

- Punctuality
- Phones on silent mode
- No cross talking
- One person to speak at a time
- Be Gender responsive and gender sensitive
- Respect each other
- Be open and reflective. Be open towards new ideas.
- Respect differences, people's individualities and diversities
- Be an enthusiastic learner
- Be polite, patient and participative
- Make efforts to know each other

### POWER WALK<sup>1</sup>

### **Objectives:**

- 1. To understand the basis of discrimination and the social institutions that keep inequalities in place.
- 2. To understand how gender intersects with other systems of dominance to produce positions of power and powerlessness.

#### **Step One**

Put up four charts on all four walls of the room labeled 'powerful', 'powerless', 'not so powerful', and 'not so powerless'. The facilitator will read out some statements (given on the following page) and the participants have to respond to the statements vis-à-vis being in one of the labeled positions.

#### **Step Two**

Divide the participants into pairs (depending on the size of the group and if the group is small enough, then the exercise can be done at an individual level also). Give each pair an identity on a slip of paper and explain to the participants that they have to, for the duration of the game, assume that particular identity written on the slip. Some will have identities of women and some of men. Each pair will get more than one copy of the slips (depending on the number of statements read out).

#### **Step Three**

The facilitator will read out some statements and the pairs, depending on their response to the statement, will put up one slip on the corresponding chart paper. In order to identify the context in which the slip was put up, ask the pairs to write a code word from the statement it corresponds with. This will help recognize the context once all the slips have been put up.

#### **Step Four**

After reading out one statement, give the pairs enough time to put up their slips. And once everyone has put them up, move onto the next statement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Source: Gender Kit, Jagori

# Step Five

Once all the statements have been read out, ask the participants to walk around the room and observe all the four charts. Once they have seen the charts, ask them what they see, how they feel, what places people in positions of power and powerlessness etc. These concerns should be addressed in a discussion.

Step Six

Debrief the exercise.

### **Identities/ Characters**

Female Sarpanch	Male Sarpanch
Dalit Female Cook	Brahmin Male Cook
Dalit Woman Rural	Dalit Man Rural
Female District Magistrate	Male District Magistrate
Transgender	Homosexual
Female Receptionist	Male Computer Operator
Poor Class Xth Rural Girl Student	Poor Class Xth Rural Boy Student
Divorced/single Woman with 2 Children	Divorced/single Man with 2 Children
Urban single working Woman	Urban single working Man
Tribal Rural Woman Farmer	Tribal Rural Man Farmer
Hindu Widow	Hindu Widower
Muslim Woman	Muslim Man
Female Journalist	Male Journalist
Not Married Woman	Not Married Man
Woman with Disability	Man with Disability
Elderly Woman	Elderly Man

#### **Statements**

- 1) I can remarry easily when partner dies
- 2) I can choose a profession of my choice
- 3) I will inherit an equal share in property in my natal home
- 4) I can marry partner/spouse of my choice
- 5) While travelling and working have little concerns for personal security and safety
- 6) Have easy access to government programmes/scholarships
- 7) I can leave home to attend training programmes for 2-3 days
- 8) I can support my parents financially and emotionally
- 9) In my family my parents welcomed the birth of a third girl child
- 10) I can easily enter a Police Station to lodge an FIR
- 11) I can enter easily a bank to open an account or take a bank loan
- 12) At village weddings/social functions, I can easily and freely socialize and eat together with other communities

State wise findings from the analysis of State Panchayat Acts and State Women Policy

reserved women women women women women women sabha a amendi quorum popular women • In SRL there is • In PES specific PESA leaders commi settlem regions women commi Throug women all IPC matters commi to alcol intoxic should • There i educati contest As a requalific includi • In addi	<b>Key Points</b>	Achievements	Gaps
reserved women women women women women women amending quorum popular women of the second popular women of the seco	STATE P	ANCHAYAT ACTS	
Housel conditi pancha	lly only 1/3 seats were wed for women but later 50% vation has been marked for en. At present there are 58% en in panchayats er there was no specific am for women in Gram a meetings. Through the dment of 2000, now there is am (as a proportion to the lation) within quorum for en as well as for SC and ST. LLM/WCD programmes is critical mass of women as a sell as for SC and ST. LLM/WCD programmes is critical mass of women as SA regions there are fic training campaigns for a leaders and community are. 20- Member PEACE entitees (for dispute ment) are formed in PESA and sone-third of which are en. There is peace entitee (dispute settlement). There is peace entitee (dispute settlement) are formed in issues related ohol consumption, ication etc women's voice deprevail to its introduction of minimum attional qualifications for sting panchayat elections. There are now highly fied panchayat members did panchayat members did panchayat members did panchayat elections. As a result of DDF has reduced a lot in	There has been an overall qualitative change in the governance agenda. The focus has now shifted to human development issues/social security. Women's voice and participation has increased at panchayat level. Issue of proxy male leaders is diminishing.	<ul> <li>Few policies for support services for women leaders</li> <li>Current rotational policy needs to be changed so that women can have tenure for 10 years</li> <li>Internal Complaint Committee (ICC) still needs to be implemented in PRIs</li> </ul>
Pradesh welfare	than. Tate standing committee for re of women at Zilla had level.	50% reservations at local bodies for women	Lack of awareness of elected representatives of women

	<ul> <li>sanctioned 90 days for women in case of absence for maternity</li> <li>15% funds earmarked for Women and child at block and zilla level (Women Component Plan)</li> <li>Gram Panchayat can initiate construction of maternity centers (for institutional delivery) and child welfare centers</li> </ul>		sarpanch
Karnataka	<ul> <li>50% reservation for women</li> <li>Mandatory mahila sabha,</li> <li>Women's reservation in social justice standing committee, representation of child welfare committee, child protection committee, aarogya committee</li> <li>50% reservation for women for the posts of president and vice president</li> <li>Mahila gram sabha- 10% participation of men</li> <li>Clearing of house tax and construction of HH toilets is precondition for contesting elections</li> <li>1/3rd women's participation in habitation sabhas and ward sabhas</li> </ul>	Increased women participation at gram sabhas	<ul> <li>Dependency on men</li> <li>Proxy representation</li> <li>Lack of support from male members and officials</li> <li>Lack of ICC at the local level</li> </ul>
Madhya Pradesh	<ul> <li>Pioneer in implementing the PR         Act. Ad hoc committees are made headed by women.     </li> <li>Women issues were dedicatedly discussed in meetings, special Mahila Sabhas</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Could not translate 50%     representation of women at     higher level</li> <li>Unable to address ICC and     Local Complaint     Committee (LCC).</li> </ul>
Telangana	<ul> <li>State is in process of drafting separate PRI Act. Presently, it has adopted the act followed by Andhra Pradesh</li> <li>Following provisions have been proposed in the act:         <ul> <li>Mandatory women Mahila Sabhas</li> <li>50% women representation as chairman</li> <li>50% share for women in government budget</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
	STATE PO	LICY FOR WOMEN	
Odisha (No separate policy for women)	<ul> <li>Quorum in palli sabha (1/10<sup>th</sup>) and gram sabha (50%)</li> <li>Issues of women are raised in Mahila Sabha and Gram Sabha,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Special module for women leaders</li> <li>Ensure participation of women in all 7 standing committees</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Proxy leadership of men</li> <li>No ICC for women representatives,</li> <li>No 50% reservation for women at MP and MLA level,</li> <li>Regressive two child norm</li> </ul>

			for contesting elections
Andhra Pradesh (draft national policy for women- no state policy)	<ul> <li>E aarogyam providing information of medicines available/combination</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> state for implementing maternity leave for 6 months</li> <li>Giri goi mudak- providing nutrition support to ST women, master health checkup for women above 35 years of age</li> <li>Economic empowerment, social</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Focus on addressing gender equality and gender against women</li> <li>Amravati declaration-focus is on gender equality, safety of women</li> </ul>	
(Draft policy)	empowerment, governance, decision making and political empowerment		
Madhya Pradesh	<ul> <li>Draft policy for women after consultation with CSOs</li> <li>Laadli scheme and cycle provision scheme focusing on Health, security, education, employment of women</li> <li>Efforts towards improving sex ratio, preventing child marriage and reducing illiteracy</li> <li>Programmes like safe city, shaurya dalit programme, reservation at govt jobs (shakti vahini for increasing women's participation)</li> <li>State and regional resource centre, one stop crisis centre, state level schemes for legal, health and employment counselling</li> <li>One Stop Crisis Centre (OSCC) in 18 Zilla Parishads</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR, IMR has improved from NFHS 3 data to NHFS 4</li> <li>100% institutional deliveries</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Gender budgeting programs exists but implementation is poor</li> <li>No model rules for preventing sexual harassment at workplace</li> </ul>
Rajasthan	<ul> <li>3 dimensional approach: 1<sup>st</sup> policy of rights based approach</li> <li>Special focus on women in difficult circumstances- tribal/rural women</li> <li>Suitable interventions against practices such as witch hunting</li> <li>OSCC- Aparajita-27/4 complete services for all women</li> <li>Udaipur- women's police patrolling</li> </ul>	Gender sub plan and gender budgeting pushed in women headed places	

# **Questions for Gender Analysis Session (GRG Modules)**

Can you examine your gender and governance modules and highlight the following in a presentation:

- 1) The nature of approach to gender
- 2) Key gender issues highlighted
- 3) Pedagogy proposed
- 4) Any aspects that measure change

## **Questions for Gender Analysis of State Programmes**

Each group will be given the operational guidelines of one of the following schemes:

- Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA)
- Beti Bachao Beti Padhao (BBBP)
- Swachh Bharat Mission (SBM)

In your groups, please review the programme from a gender lens and respond to the following questions:

- 1. Do you think the design of the programme is gender sensitive?
- 2. What is the perspective of the planner vis-a-vis gender? Are the planned strategies and activities gender sensitive?
- 3. How and what specific gender needs are being addressed in the scheme, if any? Are these practical gender needs or strategic gender needs?
- 4. Are there any constraints on women/men benefitting equally?
- 5. Is there any impact on time use of men/women?
- 6. Is there any impact on men/women's access to and control of resources?
- 7. What are the gaps, if any?
- 8. Based on your analysis of the problem sought to be addressed, which strategies and related activities would you use to address these gaps?

## **Practice session - Exercise for Day 4:**

Designing a 2-day gender sensitive training programme

1. Design session plans for the 2 days for EWRs using some of the tools you have learnt and use in your trainings on Gender Equality.

This will be done in 6 state groups and NIRD will join the state groups.

- 2. Two groups will be formed with representatives from each state to demonstrate 2 tools:
  - a. Leadership Development for Women: AP, Telengana, Odisha
  - b. Sensitization of men: MP, Rajasthan and Karnataka

# State wise comments/feedback on the training designs prepared by participants

State	Comments/feedback
Telangana	<ul> <li>No description on how the activities will be performed</li> <li>The context is problematic. The way group has written about gender. Need to rework on language</li> <li>Gap between objectives and activities. Need to rework on objectives</li> <li>Too much knowledge is given in very less time.</li> <li>No focus on soft skills. For eg there is no activity that aims for enhancing confidence</li> <li>Who will be facilitating the sessions? No description given</li> <li>There is a jump to gender division of labour. With EWRs it is difficult to come to such concepts directly since they are not oriented towards gender</li> <li>Need to develop the strategy for consolidation of learning</li> </ul>
Andhra Pradesh	<ul> <li>Too much content considering especially content that needs literacy skills level of EWRs (Groups' response: It is residential and activity based)</li> <li>There is too much writing involved in methodology (Groups' response: There would be pair learning where people who can write can help others)</li> <li>Considering SDG, GPDP and 7 star rating it is too much content and one hour may not be sufficient. (Groups' response: EWRs are already somewhat orientated on these things so it should be doable)</li> <li>Needs clarification on activity of gender blind and gender sensitive</li> <li>There should be some activity on gender roles</li> <li>Although lot of content is covered but still an attempt towards reformulation can be given</li> <li>Since it's a residential training it can go beyond 5:30 in evening</li> </ul>
Rajasthan	In the exercise on Life cycle approach, too many contents covered for one and half hour.
Karnataka	<ul> <li>Well thought through plan</li> <li>Various components of empowerment are included appropriately</li> <li>One can include information/exercise about linkages with other villages and status of panchayats as well as with linkage with other institutions</li> <li>Institutional mapping till level of block, district, collectorate and ombudsman can be done</li> </ul>
Madhya Pradesh	<ul> <li>Doable, very well targeted and very well thought of plan</li> <li>Adaption is very crucial</li> <li>Excellent presentation with great pedagogy</li> </ul>
Odisha	<ul> <li>Fact sheet is appreciative</li> <li>Tracking EWRs is difficult/separate training or module for women's leadership: EWRs get state level and samiti level</li> <li>Put the session plans in form of a table</li> <li>Relook at difference between objective and outcome since they are repeated in the plan</li> </ul>

## **Programme evaluation findings**

Session -7

#### PROGRAMME EVALUATION

Training of Trainers - an Advanced Course on Gender Responsive Governance from 13 -17 November, 2017 at LBSNAA, Mussoorie

**Total Number of** Session -1 **Forms** : 40 Questions **Very Good** Excellent Not given **Total** Fair Ms. Sarojini G. Gender and Thakur & Intersectionality Ms. Anjali Chauhan 1 0 38 0 1 0 40 % answered in each category. 3% 0% 3% 0% 0% 98.00% 95% 100% Session -2 W. Average **Very Good** Excellent Not given Presentations Report Back: by Good Total Fair SIRD & NIRD Implementation Presentations by Action Plans Post SIRD & NIRD **Orientation Course** 7 19 0 12 1 1 40 % answered in each category. 75.00% 18% 48% 30% 3% 3% 0% 100% Session -3 SIRDs from MP and Gender **Very Good** Excellent Not given Rajasthan Mainstreaming in PRI Fair Total **Trainings** 8 17 11 3 0 1 39 % answered in each category. 21% 44% 0% 28% 8% 3% 98% 75.38% **Session-6** Mr. Sibin Varghese Catalyzing Women's W. Average Excellent & Ms. Mamta Not given Transformative Good Total Poor Fair Leadership: Grassroots Women's Perspective 19 10 0 0 40 10 1 % answered in each category. 48% 25% 25% 0% 3% 0% 100% 83.00%

Ms. Tha	. Sarojini G. akur	Recap on Gender Analysis	Excellent	Very Good	poog	Fair	Poor	Not given	Total	W. Average
			15	20	3	0	1	1	39	
	% answered in ed	ach category.	38%	51%	8%	0%	3%	3%	98%	84.62%
Session			1							
	Sarojini G. akur & Suneeta Dhar	Overview on Facilitating Women's Empowerment and Leadership	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Not given	Total	W. Average
			17	15	3	1	0	4	36	
	% answered in e	ach category.	47%	42%	8%	3%	0%	10%	90%	86.67%
Session	-11									
	Ajit K Singh & Dharmista	Sharing Best Practices on GPDPs: Using a Gender Lens for Planning at Local Levels	Excellent	Very Good	Poob	Fair	Poor	Notgiven	Total	W. Average
			9	20	8	1	1	1	39	
	% answered in ed	ach category.	23%	51%	21%	3%	3%	3%	98%	77.95%
Session -		0 )								
Ms.	Sejal Dand	Tools for Participatory Planning at Local Levels	Excellent	Very Good	poog	Fair	Poor	Not given	Total	W. Average
			17	12	7	3	1	1	39	
	% answered in ed	ach category.	44%	31%	18%	8%	3%	3%	98%	82.56%
Session -		Heing ICT for Dural		-						o
	rumurthy	Using ICT for Rural Women	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Not given	Total	W. Average
			8	11	17	3	1	1	39	
	% answered in ed	ach category.	21%	28%	44%	8%	3%	3%	98%	72.82%
Session -										
Ms.	Sejal Dand	Communications and Training Tools for Training at Community Level	Excellent	Very Good	роо5	Fair	Poor	Not given	Total	W. Average
			16	13	7	4	0	0	40	
Soccion	% answered in ed	ach category.	40%	33%	18%	10%	0%	0%	100%	80.50%

	Dr. Sunita Rani	Transforming Leadership Force Field Analysis Overview	Excellent	Very Good	poob	Fair	Poor	Not given	Total	W. Average
		•	30	8	0	0	1	2	38	0.4.000.4
	% answered in each category.		79%	21%	0%	0%	3%	5%	95%	96.32%
Sess	sion -18 Ms. Aswathy S., Ms. N. V Madhuri, Representatives , SIRD	Role of Apex Institutions for Knowledge Building - Panel Discussion	Excellent	Very Good	poog	Fair	Poor	Not given	Total	W. Average
	SIRD	i and Discussion		,	_	0	4		20	<b>S</b>
	0/1:		13	19	7	0	1	1	39	02 500/
% answered in each category.  Session -20			33%	49%	18%	0%	3%	3%	98%	83.59%
sess	Mr. Neeraj Trivedi	Gender Sensitive								
	& Ms. Shreya Singh	Monitoring and Evaluation at Local Level	Excellent	Very Good	poog	Fair	Poor	Notgiven	Total	W. Average
			15	21	2	2	0	0	40	
	% answered in each category.			53%	5%	5%	0%	0%	100%	84.50%
QB	How do you rate the following administrative aspects of the five days programme.		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average		Not given	Total	W. Average
a)	Programme Aids		31	9	0	0		0	40	
	% answered in each category.		78%	23%	0%	0%		0%	100%	94.38%
<i>b</i> )	Programme Hall facility % answered in each category.		34	5	0	0		1	39	
			87%	13%	0%	0%		3%	98%	96.79%
c)	Lodging (Canquered in each sategory)		36	4	0	0		0	40	0==00
17	% answered in each category.		90%	10%	0%	0%		0%	100%	97.50%
d)	Food Quality	n aach catagory	33	6	0	0		20/	39	06.150/
(2)	% answered in each category.  Transportation Facility		<b>85%</b> 33	15% 4	<b>0%</b> 3	<b>0%</b> 0		<b>3%</b> 0	<b>98%</b> 40	96.15%
(e)	% answered in each category.		83%	10%	8%	0%		0%	100%	93.75%
f)	Support Activities from NGC Team		34	6	0	0		0	40	73.73 /0
1)		n each category.	85%	15%	0%	0%		0%	100%	96.25%
QC		the programme and for	Excellent 32	Nery Very 4	<b>poo</b> 9	O Average		Not given	Total 37	W. Average
			52				l		<u> </u>	

86% 11%

3% 0%

% answered in each category.

95.95%

8%

93%